PART 2

Sustainable Nursing Advocacy: Micro-advocacy for Macro-Impact

Michael Baker, MPH, RN, Associate Scientist, UNM Office of Community Health, UNM College of Nursing PhD Student and Dr. Melissa Lehan Mackin, PhD, RN, Associate Professor, University of New Mexico College of Nursing and Population Health

Introduction

In Part 1, we discussed how nursing advocacy does not have to begin with large-scale action. It can begin within the policies, structures, and governance processes that shape nurses' daily work and wellness. We emphasized organizational policy as one practical lever for addressing staffing strain, peer support, and nurse representation in decision-making. In Part 2, we underscore the reality of nurses in New Mexico who practice at the intersection of trust, constraint, and inequity.

Communities across New Mexico experience geographic barriers to healthcare and resources, severe and growing workforce shortages, and persistent health disparities that disproportionately affect American Indian/Alaska Native communities, Hispanic/Latino populations, Black communities, LGBTQ+ youth, rural residents, and individuals experiencing poverty (Lashway & Martinez, 2025; UNMHSC, 2024). Within this challenging context, nurses are not only caregivers but have a professional expectation to advance social justice (ANA, 2015). However, rather than conceive of advocacy and social justice as additional demands placed on an already depleted nursing workforce, the purpose of this paper is to demonstrate how micro-advocacy can be conceived as an extension of clinical reasoning skills and embedded and sustained in everyday practice across settings with real-time impact.

Defining Micro-Advocacy

For the purposes of this paper, micro-advocacy refers to small, purposeful, repeatable actions that can be embedded in everyday practice. Micro-advocacy is not lesser advocacy, rather it is situated advocacy that can be undertaken by nurses within their immediate sphere of influence to reduce harm, promote equity, and protect dignity. It is in this way that micro-advocacy can be operationalized as social justice at the point of care. Rather than relying on large-scale activism, nurses enact justice through intentional, observable, practice-based actions. Actions that comprise micro-advocacy are not small because they are insignificant, but because they are practical, sustainable, and accessible.

From Pattern Recognition to Action: MicroAdvocacy in Everyday Practice

Before advocacy reaches a community governance board, professional association, coalition, or legislative committee, it often begins in everyday interactions that uncover a concern or provide witness to a preventable, negative consequence. While maybe not explicitly recognized, nursing judgement and clinical reasoning relies on pattern recognition to identify threats to health and practice that can be described in discrete steps.

Step 1. Recognize patterns in conditions, communication, or access that underly clinical issues and health problems.

Step 2. Identify the relevant and right lever for action that can produce change.

Step 3. Clearly communicate the "ask" or need for solution as an actionable request that considers who is affected, what change is needed, and what outcomes should be expected to improve.

Step 4. Identify other potential voices that can contribute to collective action.

Step 5. Follow up on initial actions by asking about next steps or offer to assist in generating additional information.

Actions that compose micro-advocacy are most effective when paired with structured pattern recognition, which is already inherent to clinical reasoning in nursing. The same process by which nurses identify changes in patient conditions can be used in the recognition of inequity. These actions may appear small, but they create visibility and interrupt patterns that might otherwise go unaddressed.

We have identified four potential domains of micro-advocacy for nurses that can be embedded in nurse's everyday practice and include:

1. Patient Care Micro-Advocacy
2. Team Micro-Advocacy
3. System Micro-Advocacy, and
4. Anticipatory Advocacy.

What follows is a discussion of each of

the micro-advocacy domains, how clinical reasoning and pattern recognition can be applied across multiple domains of practice, and specific examples that illustrate how small actions translate into meaningful advocacy and change.

Patient Care Micro-Advocacy. Actions in this domain target patient dignity, interrupt bias, and restores respect in the moment. These actions are immediate, brief acts undertaken during patient care or team interactions. In this domain, nurses rely on their clinical assessment skills to listen critically for stigmatizing language, dismissal of concerns, or when patients appear overly uncertain about health decisions or voice lack of understanding.

Specific examples would include:

- Speaking up when a patient is described as non-compliant or difficult without acknowledgement of the patient context or barriers to care.
- Challenging assumptions about pain attributed to race or gender that lead to undertreatment in women and people of color.
- Confirming that medical information is accessible, understandable, and adequate for health decision making.

The power of patient care micro-advocacy is its ability to directly affect the patient experience and quality of care delivered. The impact of nursing actions can result in improved patient understanding and informed decision making, increased patient trust in providers and the health system, and contribution to more equitable pain and symptom assessment and treatment planning.

Team Micro-Advocacy. Actions in this domain target colleagues and workplace culture with the intention of shaping the social environment of practice. The social environment or moral community in a clinical environment is one that believes and enacts shared values (e.g. dignity, respect), fosters the well-being of the team and individual members, and harmful patterns are noticed and addressed, reducing the tolerance for disrespect or harm. To accomplish micro-advocacy in this domain, nurses rely on established expertise in active listening, empathetic communication, and team building.

Specific examples would include:

- Reminding a team member who communicates with a raised voice and personal insults of the expectation of respectful communication.
- Normalizing the need for colleagues to seek supportive care and counseling following a particular stressful shift or event.
- Encouraging and supporting team members who raise safety concerns.
- Modeling communications that frame workload issues as system failures rather than personal responsibility.

Team micro-advocacy has the potential to improve workplace conditions that reinforce psychological safety and reduce normalization of harm. Nursing actions can have a ripple effect that creates a cultural milieu that values contributions to the team and minimizes fatigue and burnout.

System Micro-Advocacy. Micro-advocacy activities in this domain shape procedures and structures of care environments. Nurses can use clinical reasoning and pattern recognition skills to identify gaps and failures in the system in an effort to catalyze change. They can then use established documentation and reporting practices to track identified problems and accumulate evidence to improve processes and accountability structures.

Specific examples of actions include:

- Documenting near-misses and creating awareness of observed patterns.
- Speaking up by asking, "Who might be excluded by this decision?" in meetings.
- Requesting clarification or justification for care decisions that contradict assessment findings.
- Participating in unit-based committees in targeted ways to improve clinical processes.

McCabe and Connolly (2019) demonstrate the power of system micro-advocacy in their discussion encouraging school nurses to be policy advocates. They demonstrate how school nurses can improve asthma care in schools. In this setting, nurses can use inherent skills and training to translate recurring student health needs into evidence-informed recommendations for school boards, local officials, and other decision-makers. Within system micro-advocacy, this may include identifying repeated barriers related to asthma action plans, medication access, or environmental triggers and using those patterns to support improved school procedures, medication protocols, or school health policies.

System micro-advocacy strengthens healthcare systems by transforming individual observations into visible patterns, improving the quality of information used in decision-making, and creating consistent, low-burden mechanisms for accountability. Outcomes of system micro-advocacy can result in change by transforming invisible problems into visible patterns of evidence and a traceable record of concerns. In this domain, small, repeated actions become powerful influences over processes, policies, and organizational priorities.

Anticipatory Micro-Advocacy. The focus of this domain of micro-advocacy is the prevention of harm before it occurs. Anticipatory micro-advocacy actions require advanced nursing judgement, situational awareness, and pattern identification to predict what will happen next and intervene before harm or inequity occurs.

Specific examples would include:

- Identifying recurring risks (e.g. common care struggles after patient discharge to home).
- Recognizing signs of misunderstanding in consent procedures or patient education materials.

- Extending pattern recognition to connect current situations to prior experiences as further insight into problem resolution.
- Assessing early and identifying barriers to learning, care adherence, self-management practices.

A practical example of anticipatory micro-advocacy is discharge planning. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's IDEAL discharge planning strategy emphasizes engaging patients and families before discharge so that care teams understand the patient's home situation, questions, follow-up needs, and potential barriers to care (AHRQ, 2017). When nurses use discharge teaching to identify transportation problems, medication access barriers, caregiver limitations, or misunderstanding before the patient leaves the hospital, they are doing more than completing a discharge task. They are anticipating preventable harm and intervening before those barriers become missed appointments, medication errors, avoidable complications, or readmissions.

Anticipatory micro-advocacy has the potential to transform routine care practices into approaches that reduce risk and prevent harm. Unlike the other micro-advocacy domains, outcomes are not the result of practice or system process change, but because nurses use their knowledge and skills to intervene early. In this way nurses contribute to a proactive approach that avoids crisis management, supports ethical sustainability, and improves care quality.

Across these domains, micro-advocacy begins with the same skill nurses use in clinical practice: pattern recognition. Nurses notice when a patient's concern is repeatedly dismissed, when a colleague's safety concern is minimized, when a process creates predictable barriers, or when a family leaves without the resources needed to follow a care plan. These moments may appear small or interpersonal, but they reveal larger patterns that can affect dignity, safety, equity, and access. By naming these patterns and acting on them in practical ways, nurses create a bridge between the organizational advocacy discussed in Part 1 and the community and policy advocacy discussed here.

Conclusion

Micro-advocacy reframes nursing advocacy as using nursing judgement and clinical reasoning in everyday practice settings to engage in professional pattern recognition that translates into action. Part 2 underscores how nurses can use their inherent skills to recognize recurring barriers, bring attention to them in real time, and address them in ways that contribute to broader, long-term change at the macro level. Everyday nursing actions can also become a foundation for further action and identifying partners, collective voices and decision-making venues choosing one useful action, and making one specific ask. This focus complemented the emphasis of Part 1 that outlined how advocacy for nurse wellness can begin within organizational policy. In total, both papers recognize and value the breadth of work and responsibility expected for nurses while offering avenues for advocacy that are sustainable. ■

References

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2017, December). *Strategy 4: Care transitions from hospital to home: IDEAL discharge planning.* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.ahrq.gov/patient-safety/patients-families/engagingfamilies/strategy4/index.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com

American Nurses Association. (2015). *Code of ethics for nurses with interpretive statements.* American Nurses Publishing. https://www.nursingworld.org/practice-policy/nursing-excellence/ethics/code-of-ethics-for-nurses/coe-view-only/

American Nurses Association. (2019). *OSF HealthCare Saint Francis Medical Center wins 2019* ANCC Magnet Prize. https://www.nursingworld.org/news/news-releases/2019-news-releases/osf-healthcare-saint-francis-medical-center-wins-2019-ancc-magnet-prize/

Birkland, T. A. (2020). *An introduction to the policy process: Theories, concepts, and models of public policy making* (5th ed.). Routledge.

Lashway, S., & Martinez, D. (2025, November). *Impact of race/ethnicity reporting methods: Health disparities among American Indians/Alaska Natives* (New Mexico Epidemiology Report, Volume 2025, Issue 004). New Mexico Department of Health. https://www.nmhealth.org/data/view/report/3155/

McCabe, E. M., & Connolly, C. (2019). From intention to action: Nurses as policy advocates for asthma care in schools. *NASN School Nurse, 34*(2), 113-116. https://doi.org/10.1177/1942602X18786394

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2019). Taking action against clinician burnout: *A systems approach to professional well-being.* The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/25521

Oregon Nurses Association. (2023). *Nurses and health care leaders testify: HB 2697 is right for Oregon, right now.* https://www.oregonrn.org/page/HB2697SafeStaffing

Rowe, M. (2008). Micro-affirmations and micro-inequities. *Journal of the International Ombudsman Association, 1*(1), 45-48.

University of New Mexico - Health Sciences Center. (2024). *New Mexico Health Care Workforce Committee 2024 Annual Report.* https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nmhc_workforce/13